

Dispute Resolution Boards in onshore wind

Robin Wood

Tools to avoid and manage claims

- Objectives of the Dispute Resolution Procedure
- Not the same for every project, may include:
 - Speed
 - Cost
 - Justice
 - Enforceability
 - Maintain commercial relationships
 - Complete the project
 - Certainty
- Need to understand the project and the parties in order to decide on priorities
- Consider need for rights of appeal as well as international enforcement

A spectrum of options



Consensual

- Advantages
 - Preserves relationship
 - Parties retain control
 - Often cheaper
 - Often quicker
- Disadvantages
 - No guaranteed decision
 - May be difficult to enforce
 - Depend on parties' relative bargaining power

Determinative

- Advantages
 - Guaranteed decision
 - Enforceable
- Disadvantages
 - Slower
 - Often more costly
 - Often damage relationship
 - Parties lose control

Tiered dispute resolution clauses

Project level process

- Discussion between representatives
- Engineer's determination
- Notification requirements
- Meetings of senior managers

Consensual procedure

- Negotiation
- Mediation
- Early Neutral Evaluation

Interim binding procedure

- Adjudication
- Dispute
 Adjudication /
 Avoidance Board

Determinative procedure

- Expert Determination
- Litigation
- Arbitration

Onshore Wind and Dispute Boards

Nic Rigby

Dispute Resolution Board Foundation. Moving projects forward since 1996.



Copyright © Dispute Resolution Board Foundation 2021



Onshore Wind – Best of the Best

- → 27% of all generation capacity added in 2020 was onshore wind
- → Lowest cost renewables in most countries
- → Easier to build
 - EPC contracts available
- Onshore wind does have shortcomings
 - Load factor, intermittency
- Change the countryside or climate change



Quote @signature # Need @Finish

- Unexpected changes
- → Tight deadlines
- → Late changes to improve project can cause risk
- → Sub contract supplier issues



Financial Investment Decision

- Consenting Issues
- → Grid connection
- → Access rights
- → Access to materials
- → Change=risk but
 - Sticking plaster/ Contract is an oxymoron



Solutions

- → Evaluate risks
 - Independent pre signing review of contracts
- → Learn from the past
- → Contractual certainty
 - Options are OK
- Plan to negotiate
- Use Value Engineering (FIDIC yellow 13.2)
- → Dispute Board/ Expert evaluation of contract
 - No one likes early demands/ concessions

Onshore Wind and Dispute Boards

Niels Emsholm

Dispute Resolution Board Foundation. Moving projects forward since 1996.



Copyright © Dispute Resolution Board Foundation 2021

RWE Renewables Onshore – best practises

- Projects
 - Examples and best practises.
 - PACT
 - Professional
 - Aligned objectives contract, management, sites
 - Competence
 - Teams
 - Different conflicts different tools
 - Plans within RWE Renewables onshore strategy. Challenges in choices and implementation for BoP, WTG and PV and in different countries

RWE Renewables onshore – best practices to avoid disputes and next steps



Internal project mechanisms

Advantages

Accommodates history and project specifics

Determination criteria more open (time,

commercial, contractual, pragmatic)

Generally faster and more cost-effective

Disadvantages

Delays if identified dispute not managed well – risk adverse or missing skills in teams

External project mechanism

Advantages

Neutral?

Maintain relationship

Keeps pace – if managed correctly

Theoretically better access to 3rd party expert (legal or technical – especially when smaller projects)

Disadvantages

Program risk and cost less controlled





Future trends in onshore wind and consequences for disputes

Grant Greatrex

April 21st, 2022



Key trends driving complexity & risk

The configuration of Onshore wind projects will increasingly be driven by hybridization, by coupling with storage solutions and electrolyzers, with more sophisticated PPAs

Hybridization with PV & other RE technologies

Hybridization with BESS & other storage technologies

Dedicated RE source for green hydrogen generation

RTC and integrated PPA contracts, grid services

- Extended technology & supply chains
- Multiple suppliers & EPCs
- System performance warrantees
- Multiple "back-to-back" OEM guarantees
- Multi-layered degradation & failures
- High contractual complexity & "hand-offs"
- Multiple revenue streams & penalties
- Complex root cause analysis