# Some Experiences of an International Contractor with Disputes in Southeast Europe

by Wolfgang Wiesner

4th May, 2013 – DRBF Conference Hotel Concorde La Fayette, Paris









## powered by



## Outline

- PORR at a glance
- The melting pot export in construction
- Disputes in reality
- Conclusions



Conclusions



# PORR at a glance

- A modern, international construction group, headquarters in Vienna/Austria.
- Full service provider: Building | Infrastructure | Environment | Development.
- Over 140 years' experience.
- Active in 16 countries.
- Production output of EUR 3bn with around 12.000 staff.



Eurovea ITC, Bratislava, SLK



Haus der Industrie, Vienna, AUT



PORR headquarters, Vienna, AUT



Drava Bridge, Ptuj, SLO











Export in construction

Disputes in reality

Conclusions

## Market strategy





# The Melting Pot $\rightarrow$ Industrial Export

### **The Melting Pot**

Engineer's interpretation: Know-how and solutions from different construction markets melting together to the benefit of the employer

#### **Industrial Export**

- Term "Export" derived from the concept of shipping goods out of a port
- Producer manufactures goods under conditions that he prevails
- After production goods shipped to customer



Conclusions



PORR at a glance Export in construction

# Industrial Export $\rightarrow$ International Construction

### **Industrial Export**

- Term "Export" derived from the concept of shipping goods out of a port
- Producer manufactures goods under conditions that he prevails
- > After production goods shipped to customer

#### **International Construction**

- Inevitably extensive share of local elements (material, workforce ...)
- Export in construction significantly export of know-how
- Fit together with conditions predominated by employer and local framework (legal, economic, social)





PORR at a glance Export in construction

Disputes in reality

Conclusions

# History of disputes at five major infrastructure projects in CEE/SEE

#### Project value >> € 100m, internationally financed

Project	Claim/Dispute amount (% contract value)	Dispute settlement by contract	Comment
Α	25%	Court	Negotiations have lasted for 3 years yet, ongoing
В	25%	DAB	Employer refused to designate adjudicator for ¾ year, every decision is followed by Notice of dissatisfaction, ongoing
С	>25% (contractor's and employer's claims, respectively)	DAB	Arbitration following ICC Rules, ongoing
D	20%	DAB	No DAB necessary, claims negotiated with internationally experienced engineer
E	25%	DAB	No DAB necessary, claims negotiated directly with employer





## Conclusions

- Austrian contractors have learnt to become more selective with involvements in southeastern Europe
- Disappointing economic development of construction projects frequently caused by proliferating disputes
- Details of agreed dispute resolution do not seem to correlate with efficiency of its implementation
- Project culture and mutual proficiency in international project management do correlate with efficiency of dispute resolution
- Export (of know-how, technology ...) in construction demands partnership



A DAB is a tool, not a weapon!

PORR at a glance	Export in construction	Disputes in reality	Conclusions
---------------------	------------------------	---------------------	-------------



# Thank you for your attention.









# powered by

