

# CONSTRUCTION OF TERMINAL 3 CAIRO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT (TB3/03)

Dispute Review Board (DRB)

Application in Egypt

By

**Cumhur KAUR** 



## Parties of the Project

- Employer: Cairo Airport Company; Egypt
- Engineer: Dar Al-Handasah; Lebanon
- Designer: NACO-ECG; Netherlands, Egypt
- Financer: World Bank; US
- Main Contractor: TAV-HC JV; Turkey, Egypt



## **Basic Facts & Figures**

- Project Initial Budget: 347,000,000 USD
- Project Completion Value: 523,000,000 USD
- Contract: Re-measured (FIDIC, Red Book, 1992) under World Bank Guidelines
- Governing Law: Egypt
- Contract Language: English
- Conciliation & Arbitration Rule: ICC
- Current Status: Under Defect Liability Period of 24 months





- Composed of 3 members appointed as follows:
  - One by Employer (Egyptian)
  - One by Main Contractor (British)
  - One (Chairman) by other two (German)
- Each member was approved by Employer and Main Contractor
- Basic DRB Procedure was in the Contract



## **Disputes of the Project**

Disputes raised during the Project and impacts:

•Remuneration of Precast Members (cost)

•Information Technology (time)

•Interior Design (cost)

•Insulation for HVAC ducts (cost)

•Cost of Various Mechanical Items (cost)

•HVAC valves (cost)

Payment for a non-performing subcontractor (cost)



## **Project Implementation**

- Disputes have gone to Dispute Review Board (DRB) for recommendation. Conclusions are:
  - Remuneration of Precast Members
  - Information Technology
  - Interior Design
  - Insulation for HVAC ducts
  - Cost of various mechanical items
  - HVAC valves
  - Payment for a non-performing subcontractor

(Main Contractor won)

(Employer won)



#### **Keynotes**

 DRB Application was smooth in strict compliance with DRB procedures and the Contract.

- In case of conflict between the Contract and Law, Law governed.
- All recommendations were conclusive
- All recommendations became binding 14 days after announcement since any Party did not ask for arbitration



#### **Outcomes in the Country**

- DRB procedure is well applicable in special and large size projects
- DRB recommendations are binding and acknowledged
- Governing law has protective measures for local entities
- DRB process is time-saving, economical and conclusive prior to the arbitration